Joint Birkhoff Ergodic Average and Topological Pressure

Xueting Tian*

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433,
People's Republic of China

E-mail: *xuetingtian@fudan.edu.cn*

arXiv:1408.3960v2 [math.DS] 6 Oct 2014

Abstract

In this paper we mainly study the dynamical complexity of Birkhoff ergodic average under the simultaneous observation of any number of continuous functions. These results can be as generalizations of [6, 35] etc. to study Birkhorff ergodic average from one (or finite) observable function to any number of observable functions from the dimensional perspective.

For any topological dynamical system with $g-$almost product property and uniform separation property, we show that any *jointly-irregular set*(i.e., the intersection of a series of $\phi-$irregular sets over several continuous functions) either is empty or carries full topological pressure. In particular, if further the system is not uniquely ergodic, then the *completely-irregular set*(i.e., intersection of all possible *nonempty* $\phi-$*irregular* sets) is nonempty(even forms a dense G_δ set) and carries full topological pressure. Moreover, *irregular-mix-regular sets* (i.e., intersection of some $\phi-$irregular sets and $\varphi-$regular sets) are discussed. Similarly, the above results are suitable for the case of BS-dimension.

As consequences, these results are suitable for any system such as shifts of finite type or uniformly hyperbolic diffeomorphisms, time-1 map of uniformly hyperbolic flows, repellers, $\beta-$shifts etc..

Version of September 2014.

* Tian is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China(No. 11301088) and Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education(No. 20130071120026).

Key words and phrases: Topological Entropy and Topological Pressure; Shifts of finite type; Uniformly Hyperbolic Systems and Non-uniformly Hyperbolic Systems; Specification Property and its weak form; Regular and Irregular Points

AMS Review: 37B10; 37B20; 37B40; 37D20; 37D25; 54H20

1 Introduction

Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X and let $\phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous observable function. A point $x \in X$ is called to be *ϕ-regular,* if the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi(f^i(x))$$

exists. Otherwise, x is called *ϕ-irregular*(or, we say the orbit of x has *historic behavior*). Define the ϕ-regular set to be the set of all ϕ-regular points, that is,

$$R(\phi, f) := \{x \in X | x \ is \ \phi - regular\};$$

and define the ϕ-irregular set to be the set of all ϕ-irregular points, that is,

$$I(\phi, f) := \{x \in X | x \ is \ \phi - irregular\}.$$

By Birkhoff's ergodic theorem, the $\phi-$irregular set is always of zero measure for any invariant measure. That is, $\mu(I(\phi, f)) = 0$ for all invariant measure μ.

Let

$$I(f) := \bigcup_{\phi \in C^0(X)} I(\phi, f),$$

where $C^0(X)$ denotes the space of all continuous functions on X. $I(f)$ is called *irregular set*, composed of all ϕ-irregular points for all continuous functions. Its complementary set $X \setminus I(f)$ is called *regular set*, denoted by $R(f)$. Every point in $R(f)$ is $\phi-$regular for all continuous functions. That is,

$$R(f) = \bigcap_{\phi \in C^0(X)} R(\phi, f).$$

Pesin and Pitskel [24] are the first to notice the phenomenon of the irregular set carrying full topological entropy in the case of the full shift on two symbols from the dimensional perspective. Barreira, Schmeling, etc. studied the irregular set in the setting of shifts of finite type and beyond, see [6, 4, 34, 11, 36, 35, 29, 37, 23] etc. Ruelle uses the terminology in [30] 'historic behavior' to describe irregular point and in contrast to dimensional perspective, Takens asks in [33] for which smooth dynamical systems the points with historic behavior has positive Lebesgue measure. Moreover, many researchers studied irregular set from topological or geometric viewpoint that irregular set forms dense G_δ set, see [19, 18, 2, 16, 22, 3] etc. So many people paid attention to the irregular set and the study of irregular set is an increasingly hot topic.

In current work, we still take the dimensional viewpoint. Firstly we recall a recent dimensional result from [35, 36] that which is inspired from [26] by Pfister and Sullivan and [34] by Takens and Verbitskiy) that

Theorem 1.1. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with (almost) specification. Then for any continuous function $\phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$, the $\phi-$irregular set $I(\phi, f)$ either is empty or carries full topological entropy(or pressure).*

Theorem 1.1 studied the $\phi-$irregular set under **one** observable function. A natural and general question is, how about the intersection of $\phi-$irregular sets under **several** observable functions(called *jointly-irregular set*)? Let us recall a result of [6](Theorem 2.1) that

Theorem 1.2. *For systems such as topological mixing subshifts of finite type (repellers and horseshoes), the jointly-irregular set*

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} I(\phi_i, f)$$

under finite observable Hölder functions $\phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots, \phi_k\, (k \geq 1)$ *either is empty or carries full topological entropy.*

The special case of Theorem 1.1 that $I(f) = \bigcup_{\phi \in C^0(X)} I(\phi, f)$ carries full topological entropy, was firstly proved in [11] for systems with specification property. Theorem 1.1 is a refined result and Theorem 1.2 is much more refined. In present paper, we are going to give a substantial generalization of Theorem 1.2 in three directions: (1) the system is more general; (2) the observable functions are not necessarily Hölder continuous, (3) the number of observable functions can be infinite. In particular, Theorem 1.1 can be generalized from one observable function to any number of observable functions. More precisely, we state the question as follows:

Question 1.3. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with (almost) specification. For any subset $D \subseteq C^0(X)$, whether the jointly-irregular set*

$$\bigcap_{\phi \in D} I(\phi, f)$$

either is empty or has full topological entropy(or pressure)?

We conjecture that it is true. To answer Question 1.3, in general the fundamental idea is to construct lots of irregular points such that you can use 'so many points' to prove full topological entropy (or pressure). However, in the new setting, we need to construct lots of irregular points which are irregular for a series of functions simultaneously. So the main problem is how to deal with infinite functions. Inspired from [26] by Pfister and Sullivan, we can use variational principle to solve the problem but another condition, called uniform separation property, is required. So we can give a positive answer in present paper under an additional assumption, uniform separation property. Fortunately, it can be applicable to lots of classical dynamical systems, including all uniformly hyperbolic diffeomorphisms, time-1 map of uniformly hyperbolic flows, repellers, shifts of finite type and $\beta-$shifts etc..

Along this paper we will state and prove several theorems that give partial positive answers to Question 1.3. The results are classified in two groups:

• **The case of finite observable functions:** It can be dealt with similarly as in [36, 35] and it will be proved positively in Theorem 1.9. It is can be as a generalization of

Theorem 1.2 for systems from symbolic dynamics to more general case and for observable functions from Hölder continuous to just continuous.

• **The general case and the particular "perfect" case:** Remark that if D contains a constant function or a function φ cohomologous to a constant(i.e., there is a constant c and a continuous function h such that $\varphi = c + h - h \circ f$), then the jointly-irregular set

$$\bigcap_{\phi \in D} I(\phi, f) = \emptyset.$$

So it is meaningful only for considering the functions with $I(\phi, f) \neq \emptyset$. A natural and "perfect"jointly-irregular set is the intersection of all nonempty $\phi-$irregular sets, called *completely-irregular set*. This set is 'minimal' in the sense of possible non-emptiness so that if this case is true, then so does the Question 1.3. For systems with $g-$almost product property and uniform separation property, we gives a positive answer(see Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.8).

Remark that the case of finite observable functions does not imply the general case, because one can not find *finite* observable functions such that the completely-irregular set is a jointly-irregular set under the observation of such functions, see Proposition 3.3. Moreover, we point out that it is still unknown wether the completely-irregular set can be written as a jointly-irregular set under the observation of countable functions.

1.1 Jointly-irregular set of any number of observable functions

Question 1.3 is true for systems with $g-$almost product property and uniform separation property. Let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ denote the space of all probability measures. Let $\mathcal{M}_f(X)$ and $\mathcal{M}_f^e(X)$ denote the space of all $f-$invariant probability measures and the space of all $f-$ergodic measures respectively.

Theorem 1.4. (Partial Positive Answer to Question 1.3)
Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with $g-$almost product property and uniform separation property. Then for any subset $D \subseteq C^0(X)$, the the jointly-irregular set $\bigcap_{\phi \in D} I(\phi, f)$ either is empty or carries full topological pressure, that is,

$$P(\bigcap_{\phi \in D} I(\phi, f), \varphi, f) = P(X, \varphi, f) = \sup\{h_\mu(f) + \int \varphi d\mu |\, \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)\}$$

for any $\varphi \in C^0(X)$.

Remark 1.5. If f is uniquely ergodic, there is an ergodic measure μ such that for any $x \in X$, $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^i(x)}$ converges to μ in weak* topology, where δ_y denotes the Dirac measure supported on the point $y \in X$. Then for any $x \in X$ and any $\phi \in C^0(X)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi(f^i(x)) = \int \phi d\mu.$$

That is, for any $\phi \in C^0(X)$, $I(\phi, f) = \emptyset$. Thus in this case the jointly-irregular set $\bigcap_{\phi \in D} I(\phi, f)$ is always empty.

Notice that only the functions with $I(\phi, f) \neq \emptyset$ is meaningful. So we only need to consider the case of D which is composed of several functions with $I(\phi, f) \neq \emptyset$. For convenience,

Definition 1.6. *(***Truly-observable***) We call a continuous function $\phi \in C^0(X)$ to be truly-observable, if*

$$I(\phi, f) \neq \emptyset.$$

That is, there is at least one orbit of some x has historic behavior under the observation of ϕ. Otherwise, ϕ is called trivially-observable.

For example, any constant function is naturally trivially-observable. Roughly speaking, every truly-observable function can open an 'eye' to observe irregular points. Let

$$\hat{C}_f^0(X) := \{\phi \in C^0(X) |\ I(\phi, f) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

$\hat{C}_f^0(X)$ is the set of all truly-observable functions. Remark that

$$\hat{C}_f^0(X) = \emptyset \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad R(f) = X.$$

For example, the south-north map and uniquely ergodic systems are all such kind. Let us introduce an important kind of jointly-irregular set, called completely-irregular set(or called essentially-irregular). More precisely,

Definition 1.7. *(***Completely-irregular set***) define completely-irregular set as*

$$CI(f) := \bigcap_{\phi \in \hat{C}_f^0(X)} I(\phi, f).$$

In other words, the completely-irregular set $CI(f)$ is the intersection of all possible nonempty $\phi-$irregular sets.

Remark that it is the 'minimal' jointly-irregular set in the sense of nonempty possibility, since if one add one more function the jointly-irregular set must be empty. The element of completely-irregular set is called *completely-irregular point.* That is, a point x is completely-irregular, if x is $\phi-$irregular for any $\phi \in \hat{C}_f^0(X)$.

Roughly speaking, every completely-irregular point is persistent under all truly-observable functions. In other words, every completely-irregular point can be observed by the 'eyes' of all truly-observable functions. Thus, if we think the regular set $R(f)$ as the 'best' dynamical set, then $CI(f)$ is the 'worst' dynamical set in opposition. Remark that for any $\phi \in \hat{C}_f^0(X)$,

$$CI(f) \subseteq I(\phi, f) \subseteq I(f).$$

Inspired by the analysis of Remark 1.5, we only need to consider the system which is not uniquely ergodic. Let $D \subseteq C^0(X)$ be a subset of continuous functions. Remark that if $\bigcap_{\phi\in D} I(\phi, f) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\bigcap_{\phi\in D} I(\phi, f) \supseteq CI(f).$$

Then Theorem 1.4 can be deduced from following theorem.

Theorem 1.8. (Full Pressure of Completely-irregular set)
Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with $g-$almost product property and uniform separation property. Assume that f is not uniquely ergodic. Then the completely-irregular set $CI(f)$ is nonempty and carries full topological pressure, that is,

$$P(\bigcap_{\phi\in D} I(\phi, f), \varphi, f) = P(X, \varphi, f) = \sup\{h_\mu(f) + \int \varphi d\mu |\, \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)\}$$

for any $\varphi \in C^0(X)$.

For non-uniquely ergodic systems with $g-$almost product property(or almost specification) $CI(f)$ is nonempty from Theorem 2.17 below. However, if f has positive topological entropy, then the conclusion of full topological entropy($\varphi = 0$) of Theorem 1.8 implies the non-emptiness of $CI(f)$. More precisely, for $\varphi = 0$, $CI(f)$ has positive full entropy so that it is not empty.

We will prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.8 in Section 3.2.

1.2 Jointly-irregular set of finite observable functions

Notice that Theorem 1.8 requires the condition of uniform separation. Here we drop the uniform separation property and try to prove a theorem as a weaker version of Question 1.3. That is, we consider the *jointly-irregular set* which is the intersection of *finite* $\phi-$irregular sets.

Theorem 1.9. (Partial Positive Answer to Question 1.3)
Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with (almost) specification. Then for any finite functions $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_k \in C^0(X)(k \geq 1)$, the jointly-irregular set $\cap_{j=1}^k I(\phi_j, f)$ either is empty or carries full topological pressure, that is,

$$P(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} I(\phi_i, f), \varphi, f) = P(X, \varphi, f) = \sup\{h_\mu(f) + \int \varphi d\mu |\, \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)\}$$

for any $\varphi \in C^0(X)$.

If f has uniform separation property, then this theorem can be deduced immediately from Theorem 1.4. But here it is enough to assume f satisfying (almost) specification. Remark that Theorem 1.1 is a particular case of Theorem 1.9. We will prove Theorem 1.9 in Section 3.4.

1.3 BS-dimension

By the definitions of topological pressure and BS-dimension(see Section 2.1 below for the definitons), it is not difficult to see that for any set $Z \subseteq X$, the BS-dimension of Z is a unique foot of Bowen's equation

$$P(Z, -s\varphi) = 0, \ i.e., \ s = BS(Z, \varphi). \tag{1.1}$$

So all results in present paper for topological pressure can be also realized for the case of BS-dimension. For example, the conclusion of Theorem 1.8 can be stated

$$BS(CI(f), f) = BS(X, f) = \sup\{\frac{h_\mu(f)}{\int \varphi d\mu} | \, \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)\}$$

for any strictly positive function $\varphi \in C^0(X)$.

1.4 Applications

The above consequence can be applicable to lots of dynamical systems(that is, Question 1.3 is true for these systems). For example,

Theorem 1.10. *For any one of following systems, the completely-irregular set $CI(f)$ is not empty and carries full topological pressure(in particular, topological entropy) and BS-dimension:*
(A). *$f : X \to X$ is the two-sided shift on $X = \prod_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} Y$ where $Y = \{0, 1, \cdots, k-1\}$ and k is a positive integer ≥ 2.*
(A'). *$f : X \to X$ is the one-sided shift on $X = \prod_{n=0}^{+\infty} Y$ where $Y = \{0, 1, \cdots, k-1\}$ and k is a positive integer ≥ 2.*
(B). *$f : X \to X$ is a subsystem of an Axiom A system $f : M \to M$ over a compact Riemannian manifold M where X is a hyperbolic elementary set.*
(B'). *$f : X \to X$ is a transitive Anosov diffeomorphism of a compact Riemannian manifold X.*
(C). *$f : X \to X$ is the time-t map($t \neq 0$) of a transitive Anosov flow of a compact Riemannian manifold X(in this case, f is partially hyperbolic).*
(D). *$f : X \to X$ is a subsystem of a C^1 map $f : M \to M$ over a compact Riemannian manifold M where X is a topological mixing and expanding invariant set(called repeller).*

Remark that this result for the case of shifts of finite type can be as a generalization of [6](Theorem 2.1) in three directions: (1) the observable function are not necessarily Hölder continuous, (2) the number of observable functions can be infinite and (3) topological entropy are replaced by more general concept, topological pressure. Recall a result that for $C^{1+\delta}$ conformal repellers, it was proved in [15] that the jointly-irregular set of finite observable functions is either empty or carries full Hausdorff dimension. In this case if $\varphi = \log \|df\|$, then

$$BS(Z, \varphi) = dim_H(Z)$$

for every $Z \subseteq X$. So Theorem 1.10 (D) implies that

Corollary 1.11. *Let $f : X \to X$ be a subsystem of a conformal $C^{1+\delta}$ map $f : M \to M$ over a compact Riemannian manifold M where X is a topological mixing and expanding invariant set(called conformal repeller). Then for any subset $D \subseteq C^0(X)$, the the jointly-irregular set $\bigcap_{\phi\in D} I(\phi, f)$ either is empty or carries full Hausdorff dimension, that is,*

$$dim_H(\bigcap_{\phi\in D} I(\phi, f)) = dim_H(X).$$

In other words, this corollary generalizes the result of [15] from observation of finite functions to any number of functions.

It is known that any system f in Theorem 1.10 is not uniquely ergodic, has positive entropy and satisfies specification(topological mixing + shadowing property $\Rightarrow$ specification). From [26] we know that specification implies $g-$almost product property(Proposition 2.1 in [26]). Note that the systems of (A)-(B') and (D) are all expansive. The system f of (C) is partially hyperbolic with one dimensional central bundle and thus f is far from tangency so that f is entropy-expansive from [20](or see [13, 27]). Recall that from [21] entropy-expansive implies asymptotically $h-$expansive and from [26](Theorem 3.1) any expansive or asymptotically $h-$expansive system satisfies uniform separation property. Thus, Theorem 1.10 can be deduced from Theorem 1.8.

Let us recall the definition of $\beta-$shift($\beta > 1$) $(\Sigma_\beta, \sigma_\beta)$ in [38](Chapter 7.3). We only need to consider that β is not an integer. Consider the expansion of 1 in powers of β^{-1}, i.e. $1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\beta^{-n}$ where $a_1 = [\beta]$ and $a_n = [\beta^n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i\beta^{n-i}]$. Here $[t]$ denotes the integral part of $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $k = [\beta] + 1$. Then $0 \le a_n \le k - 1$ for all n so we can consider $a = \{a_n\}_1^\infty$ as a point in the space $X = \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} Y$ where $Y = \{0, 1, \cdots, k - 1\}$. Consider the lexicographical ordering on X, i.e. $x = \{x_n\}_1^\infty < y = \{y_n\}_1^\infty$ if $x_j < y_j$ for the smallest j with $x_j \ne y_j$. Let $f : X \to X$ denote the one-sided shift transformation. Note that $f^n a \le a$ for all $n \ge 0$. Let

$$\Sigma_\beta := \{x = \{x_n\}_1^\infty |\, x \in X \text{ and } f^n(x) \le a \text{ for all } n \ge 0\}.$$

Then Σ_β is a closed subset of X and $f(\Sigma_\beta) = \Sigma_\beta$. Let $\sigma_\beta := f|_{\Sigma_\beta}$. Then $(\Sigma_\beta, \sigma_\beta)$ is one-sided $\beta-$shift. One can obtain the two-sided $\beta-$shift by letting

$$\hat{\Sigma}_\beta := \{x = \{x_n\}_{-\infty}^\infty |\, x \in \prod_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} Y \text{ and } (x_i, x_{i+1}, \cdots) \in \Sigma_\beta \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then $\hat{\Sigma}_\beta$ is a closed subspace of $\prod_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} Y$ invariant under the two-sided shift

$$\hat{f} : \prod_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} Y \to \prod_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} Y.$$

The topological entropy of $\beta-$shift($\beta > 1$) is $\log \beta$. Remark that by Variational Principle, there is an ergodic measure with positive entropy. Note that the Dirac measure supported

on the fixed point $x = \{0\}_1^\infty \in \Sigma_\beta$ has zero entropy. So every $\beta-$shift is not uniquely ergodic.

It is known that every $\beta-$shift($\beta > 1$) $(\Sigma_\beta, \sigma_\beta)$ is expansive(as a subshift of finite type) and satisfies $g-$almost product property from [26](see the Example on P.934). So the completely-irregular set of every $\beta-$shift has full topological entropy($= \log \beta$). Moreover, from [36](Lemma 5.3) every subset $Z \subseteq \Sigma_\beta$ satisfies that

$$Dim_H(Z) = \frac{1}{log\beta} h_{top}(\sigma_\beta, Z)$$

so that $Dim_H(\bigcap_{\phi \in \hat{C}_f^0(\Sigma_\beta)} I(\phi, f)) = 1$. That is,

Theorem 1.12. ($\beta-$shift) *For $\beta > 1$, let $(\Sigma_\beta, \sigma_\beta)$ denote the $\beta-$shift. Then the completely-irregular set*

$$IC(\sigma_\beta) = \bigcap_{\phi \in \hat{C}_f^0(\Sigma_\beta)} I(\phi, \sigma_\beta)$$

has full topological entropy, topological pressure, BS-dimension and full Hausdorff dimension.

2 Preliminary

2.1 Some definitions

Firstly we recall the definition of (almost) specification, see [12, 31, 8, 9, 26, 35, 36]. Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X.

Definition 2.1. *We say that the dynamical system f satisfies specification property, if the following holds: for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an integer $M(\epsilon)$ such that for any $k \geq 2$, any k points $x_1, \cdots, x_k$, any integers*

$$a_1 \leq b_1 < a_2 \leq b_2 \cdots < a_k \leq b_k$$

with $a_{i+1} - b_i \geq M(\epsilon)\, (2 \leq i \leq k)$, there exists a point $x \in X$ such that

$$d(f^j(x), f^j(x_i)) < \epsilon, \quad for\ a_i \leq j \leq b_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq k. \tag{2.2}$$

The original definition of specification, due to Bowen, was stronger.

Definition 2.2. *We say that the dynamical system f satisfies Bowen's specification property, if under the assumptions of Definition 2.1 and for any integer $p \geq M(\epsilon) + b_k - a_1$, there exists a point $x \in X$ with $T^p(x) = x$ satisfying (2.2).*

We recall a result that systems with specification naturally have positive entropy. Thus if the system in Theorem 1.8 has specification property, positive entropy is a natural condition. In particular, if the Bowen's specification holds, then the system is not uniquely ergodic.

Proposition 2.3. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with specification property. Assume $\operatorname{card} X > 1$. Then f has positive entropy. In particular, if the specification property is Bowen's specification, then f is not uniquely ergodic.*

Proof. Positive entropy is from Proposition 21.6 of [12]. If Bowen's specification holds, from Proposition 21.3 of [12] we know that periodic points are dense in X. Notice that positive entropy implies that X is uncountable. So there are two periodic points with different orbits. Then the measures supported on the two orbits are two different periodic measures(which are all invariant and ergodic). Thus f is not uniquely ergodic. □

Recall that almost specification introduced in [36] is slightly different from $g-$almost product property in [26](Almost specification is slightly weaker). And their main ideas are same: one requires only partial shadowing of the specified orbit segments, contrary to specification property. Therefore, in present paper we treat *almost specification* same as *$g-$almost product property* and we only introduce the definition of $g-$almost product property as follows. People who want to know the detailed difference, see [36, 26]. A striking and typical example of $g-$almost product property (and almost specification) is that it applies to every $\beta-$shift[36, 26]. In sharp contrast, the set of β for which the $\beta-$shift has specification property has zero Lebesgue measure[10, 32].

Let $\Lambda_n = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, n-1\}$. The cardinality of a finite set Λ is denoted by $|\Lambda|$. Let $x \in X$. The dynamical ball $B_n(x, \varepsilon)$ is the set

$$B_n(x, \varepsilon) := \{y \in X | \max\{d(f^j(x), f^j(y)) | j \in \Lambda_n\} \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Definition 2.4. *Let $g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a given nondecreasing unbounded map with the properties*

$$g(n) < n \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n} = 0.$$

The function g is called blowup function. Let $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The $g-$blowup of $B_n(x, \varepsilon)$ is the closed set

$$B_n(g; x, \varepsilon) := \{y \in X | \exists \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_n, |\Lambda_n \setminus \Lambda| \leq g(n) \ \textit{ and } \ \max\{d(f^j(x), f^j(y)) | j \in \Lambda\} \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Definition 2.5. *We say that the dynamical system f satisfies $g-$almost product property with blowup function g, if there is a nonincreasing function $m : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{N}$, such that for any $k \geq 2$, any k points $x_1, \cdots, x_k \in X$, any positive $\varepsilon_1, \cdots, \varepsilon_k$ and any integers $n_1 \geq m(\varepsilon_1), \cdots, n_k \geq m(\varepsilon_k)$,*

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} f^{-M_{j-1}} B_{n_j}(g; x_j, \varepsilon_j) \neq \emptyset,$$

where $M_0 := 0, M_i := n_1 + \cdots + n_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, k-1$.

Now let us to recall the definition of topological entropy.

Definition 2.6. *We say that the dynamical system f satisfies $g-$almost product property with blowup function g, if there is a nonincreasing function $m : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{N}$, such that for any $k \geq 2$, any k points $x_1, \cdots, x_k \in X$, any positive $\varepsilon_1, \cdots, \varepsilon_k$ and any integers $n_1 \geq m(\varepsilon_1), \cdots, n_k \geq m(\varepsilon_k)$,*

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} f^{-M_{j-1}} B_{n_j}(g; x_j, \varepsilon_j) \neq \emptyset,$$

where $M_0 := 0, M_i := n_1 + \cdots + n_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, k-1$.

Now we recall the definition of uniform separation property. Let $\mathcal{M}_x(f)$ be the set of all limits of

$$\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^i(x)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

in weak* topology. Set

$$\mathcal{E}_n(x) := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^i(x)}.$$

For $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, two points x and y are $(\delta, n, \varepsilon)-$separated if

$$|\{j : d(f^j x, f^j y) > \varepsilon,\ j \in \Lambda_n\}| \geq \delta n.$$

A subset E is $(\delta, n, \varepsilon)-$separated if any pair of different points of E are $(\delta, n, \varepsilon)-$separated. Let $F \subseteq \mathcal{M}(X)$ be a neighborhood of $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)$. Define

$$X_{n,F} := \{x \in X |\, \mathcal{E}_n(x) \in F\},$$

and define

$$N(F; \delta, n, \varepsilon) := \text{maximal cardinality of a } (\delta, n, \varepsilon) - \text{separated subset of } X_{n,F}.$$

Let $\xi = \{V_i |\, i = 1, 2, \cdots, k\}$, be a finite partition of measurable sets of X. The entropy of $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ with respect to ξ is

$$H(\nu, \xi) := -\sum_{V_i \in \xi} \nu(V_i) \log \nu(V_i).$$

We write $f^{\vee n}\xi := \vee_{k\in\Lambda} f^{-k}\xi$. The entropy of $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)$ with respect to ξ is

$$h(f, \nu, \xi) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\nu, f^{\vee n}\xi),$$

and the *metric entropy* of ν is

$$h_\nu(f) := \sup_{\xi} h(f, \nu, \xi).$$

Definition 2.7. *We say that the dynamical system f satisfies uniform separation property, if following holds. For any $\eta > 0$, there exist $\delta^* > 0, \epsilon^* > 0$ such that for μ ergodic and any neighborhood $F \subseteq \mathcal{M}(X)$ of μ, there exists $n^*_{F,\mu,\eta}$, such that for $n \geq n^*_{F,\mu,\eta}$,*

$$N(F; \delta^*, n, \epsilon^*) \geq 2^{n(h_\mu(f)-\eta)}.$$

Now we recall the definition of topological pressure and entropy.

Let $E \subseteq X$, $\varphi \in C^0(X)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_n(E, \epsilon)$ be the collection of all finite or countable covers of E by sets of the form $B_m(x, \epsilon)$ with $m \geq n$. We set

$$C(E; t, \varphi, n, \epsilon, f) := \inf\{\sum_{B_m(x,\epsilon)\in\mathcal{C}} 2^{-tm+\sup_{y\in B_m(x,\epsilon)}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\varphi(f^i(x))} : \mathcal{C} \in \mathfrak{F}_n(E, \epsilon)\},$$

and

$$C(E; t, \varphi, \epsilon, f) := \lim_{n\to\infty} C(E; t, \varphi, n, \epsilon, f).$$

Then

$$P(E, \varphi, \epsilon, f) := \inf\{t : C(E; t, \varphi, \epsilon, f) = 0\} = \sup\{t : C(E; t, \varphi, \epsilon, f) = \infty\}$$

and the *topological pressure* of E is defined as

$$P(E, \varphi, f); = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} P(E, \varphi, \epsilon, f).$$

In particular, if $\varphi = 0$, then the *topological entropy* of E is defined as

$$h_{top}(f, E) = P(E, 0, f).$$

Now we recall BS-dimension which was introduced by Barreira and Schmeling in [6]. If φ is a strictly positive continuous function, then for each $E \subseteq X$ and each number $\epsilon > 0$, define

$$N(E; t, \varphi, \epsilon, f) := \lim_{n\to\infty} N(E; t, \varphi, n, \epsilon, f),$$

where

$$N(E; t, \varphi, n, \epsilon, f) := \inf\{\sum_{B_m(x,\epsilon)\in\mathcal{C}} 2^{-t\sup_{y\in B_m(x,\epsilon)}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\varphi(f^i(x))} : \mathcal{C} \in \mathfrak{F}_n(E, \epsilon)\}.$$

Set

$$BS(E, \varphi, \epsilon, f) := \inf\{t : N(E; t, \varphi, \epsilon, f) = 0\} = \sup\{t : N(E; t, \varphi, \epsilon, f) = \infty\}$$

and the *BS-dimension* of E is defined as

$$BS(E, \varphi, f) := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} BS(E, \varphi, \epsilon, f).$$

Remark that if $\varphi = 1$, then $BS(E, 1, f) = h_{top}(f, E)$.

2.2 Variational principle and some useful lemmas

Firstly we recall a result from [26, 28]([26] being for topological entropy and [28] being for topological pressure). We say that $f : X \to X$ is *saturated*, if for any $\varphi \in C^0(X)$ and any compact connected nonempty set $K \subseteq \mathcal{M}_f(X)$,

$$P(G_K, \varphi, f) = \inf\{h_\mu(f) + \int \varphi d\mu \,|\, \mu \in K\},$$

where $G_K = \{x \in X | \, \mathcal{M}_x(f) = K\}$(called saturated set of K).

Lemma 2.8. (Variational Principle) *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X. If $g-$almost product property and uniform separation property holds, then f is saturated.*

Lemma 2.9. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X. For any $\phi \in \hat{C}_f^0(X)$, one has*

$$\inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)} \int \phi(x) d\mu < \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)} \int \phi(x) d\mu.$$

Moreover, by Ergodic Decomposition theorem,

$$\inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f^e(X)} \int \phi(x) d\mu < \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f^e(X)} \int \phi(x) d\mu.$$

Lemma 2.9 is a general and direct result from the definition of $\phi-$irregular set and can be stated more precise as one direction of following lemma.

Lemma 2.10. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X. Let $\phi \in C^0(X)$ and $x \in X$. Then*

$$\phi \in \hat{C}_f^0(X), \; x \in I(\phi, f) \Leftrightarrow \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_x(f)} \int \phi(x) d\mu < \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_x(f)} \int \phi(x) d\mu.$$

Proof. On one hand, fix $\phi \in \hat{C}_f^0(X)$ and $x \in I(\phi, f)$. By definition there are two sequences of $n_j, m_j \uparrow +\infty$ such that the following limits exist and

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=0}^{n_j - 1} \phi(f^i(x)) \neq \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{m_j} \sum_{i=0}^{m_j - 1} \phi(f^i(x)).$$

By weak* topology one can take two convergence subsequences(if necessary) of

$$\{\frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=0}^{n_j - 1} \delta_{f^i(x)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \;\; \{\frac{1}{m_j} \sum_{i=0}^{m_j - 1} \delta_{f^i(x)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

and then the two limits of μ_1 and μ_2 are in $\mathcal{M}_x(f)$ and satisfy that

$$\int \phi d\mu_1 = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=0}^{n_j - 1} \phi(f^i(x)) \neq \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{m_j} \sum_{i=0}^{m_j - 1} \phi(f^i(x)) = \int \phi d\mu_2.$$

On the other hand, Let $\phi \in C^0(X)$ and $x \in X$ satisfy

$$\inf_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_x(f)} \int \phi(x)d\mu < \sup_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_x(f)} \int \phi(x)d\mu.$$

Take two measures $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_x(f)$ such that

$$\int \phi(x)d\mu_1 < \int \phi(x)d\mu_2.$$

Then we can take two convergence subsequences of

$$\{\frac{1}{n_j}\sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} \delta_{f^i(x)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \ \ \{\frac{1}{m_j}\sum_{i=0}^{m_j-1} \delta_{f^i(x)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

such the limits are μ_1 and μ_2. So

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{n_j}\sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} \phi(f^i(x)) = \int \phi d\mu_1 \neq \int \phi d\mu_2 = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{m_j}\sum_{i=0}^{m_j-1} \phi(f^i(x)).$$

Hence, $x \in I(\phi, f)$ and thus $\phi \in \hat{C}_f^0(X)$. □

Remark that for systems with (almost) specification, the inverse case of Lemma 2.9 is also true from [36, 35].

Lemma 2.11. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with (almost) specification. Let $\phi \in C^0(X)$. Then*

$$\inf_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_f(X)} \int \phi(x)d\mu < \sup_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_f(X)} \int \phi(x)d\mu \Leftrightarrow I(\phi, f) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof For the case of '$\Rightarrow$', see the paragraph behind of Lemma 2.1 in [36], as a corollary of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 4.1 there, P. 5397(see Lemma 1.6 of [35] for the case of specification).

For the case of '$\Leftarrow$', it is our above Lemma 2.9. □

Recall another result that $g-$almost product property implies entropy-density(Theorem 2.1 in [25]).

Lemma 2.12. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with $g-$almost product property. Then f has entropy-dense property, that is, for any $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)$, any neighborhood $G \subseteq \mathcal{M}(X)$ of μ and any $h_* < h_\nu(T)$, there exists an ergodic measure $\mu \in G \cap \mathcal{M}_f(X)$ such that $h_\mu(T) > h_*$.*

The following lemma is from [26](Proposition 3.3).

Lemma 2.13. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with uniform separation property. If the ergodic measures are entropy-dense, then the entropy function*

$$h_\cdot(f) : \mathcal{M}_f(X) \to \mathbb{R}, \ \mu \mapsto h_\mu(f)$$

is upper continuous.

2.3 Cardinality of truly-observable functions

If one only has finite truly-observable functions, then Question 1.3 is possibly easier to answer. However, we show that the set of truly-observable functions is uncountable for any non-uniquely ergodic system with (almost) specification.

Proposition 2.14. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with (almost) specification. If it is not uniquely ergodic, then the set of truly-observable functions, $\hat{C}_f^0(X)$, is open and dense in $C^0(X)$.*

Firstly we prove a general lemma.

Lemma 2.15. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X. If $\hat{C}_f^0(X) \neq \emptyset$, then $\hat{C}_f^0(X)$ is open and dense in $C^0(X)$.*

Proof. Take $\phi_0 \in \hat{C}_f^0(X)$ and $x \in I(\phi_0, f)$. On one hand, we show $\hat{C}_f^0(X)$ is dense in $C^0(X) \setminus \hat{C}_f^0(X)$. Fix $\phi \in C^0(X) \setminus \hat{C}_f^0(X)$. Then $I(\phi, f) = \emptyset$ so that $R(\phi, f) = X$. Take $\phi_n = \frac{1}{n}\phi_0 + \phi$, $n \geq 1$. Then ϕ_n converges to ϕ in sup norm. By construction, it is easy to check that $x \in I(\phi_n, f)$, $n \geq 1$. That is, $\phi_n \in \hat{C}_f^0(X)$.

On the other hand, we prove that $\hat{C}_f^0(X)$ is open. Fix $\phi \in \hat{C}_f^0(X)$ and $y \in I(\phi, f)$. Then by Lemma 2.10, there are two different invariant measures $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_y(f)$ such that

$$\int \phi d\mu_1 < \int \phi d\mu_2.$$

By continuity of sup norm, we can take an open neighborhood of ϕ, denoted by $U(\phi)$, such that for any $\varphi \in U(\phi)$

$$\int \varphi d\mu_1 < \int \varphi d\mu_2.$$

Notice that $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_y(f)$. By Lemma 2.10 $x \in I(\varphi, f)$, $\forall\ \varphi \in U(\phi)$. This implies $\varphi \in \hat{C}_f^0(X)$, $\forall\ \varphi \in U(\phi)$. □

Now we start to prove Proposition 2.14.

Proof of Proposition 2.14 By Lemma 2.15, we only need to prove that $\hat{C}_f^0(X) \neq \emptyset$. By assumption, there are two different invariant measures μ_1, μ_2. By weak* topology, there is a continuous function ϕ such that

$$\int \phi d\mu_1 \neq \int \phi d\mu_2.$$

By Lemma 2.11, $I(\phi, f) \neq \emptyset$. □

2.4 Some topological properties of completely-irregular set

In a Baire space, a set is *residual* if it contains a countable intersection of dense open sets. Some results showed that certain irregular sets can also be large from the topological point of view. For example, Albeverio, Pratsiovytyi and Torbin [2], Hyde et al [16] and

Olsen [22] proved that some kinds of irregular sets associated with integer expansion are residual. Baek and Olsen [3] discussed the set of extremely non-normal points of self-similar set from the topological point of view. Li and Wu [18] proved that the set of divergence points of self-similar measure with the open set condition is either residual or empty, and they also proved in [19] that

Theorem 2.16. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with specification. Then for any continuous function $\phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$, the $\phi-$irregular set $I(\phi, f)$ either is empty or residual in X.*

Now we restart to study Question 1.3 in geometric or topological perspective and find that completely-irregular set is very still "large". It can be as a generalization of Theorem 2.16.

Theorem 2.17. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with (almost) specification. Assume that f is not uniquely ergodic. Then the completely-irregular set $CI(f)$ is residual in X ($\bigcup_{\tau\in\mathcal{M}_f(X)} Support(\nu)$).*

Proof. Recall that $\hat{C}_f^0(X) \neq \emptyset$ is from Lemma 2.15.

Now we start to prove the residual property. Firstly we give a proof for any system with Bowen's specification. Recall from [12] that the set of points with maximal oscillation $G_{max} := \{x \in X |\, \mathcal{M}_x(f) = \mathcal{M}_f(X)\}$ is residual in X(Proposition 21.18 and Proposition 21.14 in [12]). One only needs to show that

$$G_{max} \subseteq \bigcap_{\phi\in\hat{C}_f^0(X)} I_\phi.$$

More precisely, for given $\phi \in \hat{C}_f^0(X)$, by Lemma 2.10 there are two invariant measures μ_1, μ_2 such that

$$\int \phi d\mu_1 \neq \int \phi d\mu_2.$$

For any $z \in G_{max}$, by definition there are two subsequences of

$$\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^i(z)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

converging to μ_1, μ_2. Then by weak* topology $\int \phi d\mu_1 \neq \int \phi d\mu_2$ implies that the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi(T^i(z))$$

does not exist. That is, $z \in I_\phi$. This completes the proof.

For the case of specification, the system is topologically mixing so that one can adapt the proof of [12](Proposition 21.18 and Proposition 21.14 in [12]) to get that G_{max} is residual in X. Notice that

$$G_{max} \subseteq \bigcap_{\phi\in\hat{C}_f^0(X)} I_\phi$$

is a basic fact without any assumption. Therefore, Theorem 2.17 is true for systems with specification. For convenience of readers, we state a rough idea to prove that G_{max} is residual in X. From [12] it is a general fact that G_{max} is a G_δ set. Existence of a point being in G_{max} is to construct a point as follows. By entropy-density of ergodic measures(Lemma 2.12), ergodic measures are dense in the space of invariant measures. Since $\mathcal{M}_f(X)$ is a compact metric space, one can take a countable subset F, composed by ergodic measures, such that F is dense in $\mathcal{M}_f(X)$. For any ergodic measure $\nu \in F$, choose a generic point x_ν which represents the "information" of ν. That is, $\mathcal{E}_n(x_\nu)$ converges to μ in weak* topology. Then by specification and by induction there is a point x shadowing the countable orbits of generic points more and more close(replacing the roles of periodic measures or orbits in [12] by ergodic measures or generic points). Then every $\nu \in F$ can be as a limit point of $\mathcal{E}_n(x)$. By density of F, $F \subseteq \mathcal{M}_x(f)$ implies $\mathcal{M}_x(f) = \mathcal{M}_f(X)$ and thus x is the needed point. Density is from topologically mixing, because the needed shadowing point x can be chosen to shadow beginning from any given point in X.

For the case of almost specification, it is not sure that G_{max} is residual in X. But similar as the case of specification, it is possible to adapt the proof of [12](Proposition 21.18 and Proposition 21.14 in [12]) to show that G_{max} is residual in

$$\Delta := \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)} Support(\nu).$$

The proof of existence of a point x in G_{max} is similar as the case of specification. Density of G_{max} in Δ is from the definition of G_{max}, because from weak* topology the support of any invariant measure is contained in the closure of the orbit of x. Here we omit the details, see [12] for possible modification to prove. □

Remark 2.18. Remark that from Theorem 2.17, $CI(f) \neq \emptyset$ so that if take $D = \hat{C}_f^0(X)$, Theorem 1.4 implies Theorem 1.8.

Now we study a basic property of any completely-irregular point.

Theorem 2.19. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with (almost) specification. Assume that f is not uniquely ergodic. Then for any $x \in CI(f)$,*

$$\bigcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)} Support(\nu) \subseteq \omega_f(x),$$

where $\omega_f(x)$ denotes the $\omega-$limt set of x. In other words, $\mu(\omega_f(x)) = 1$ holds for any $x \in CI(f)$ and any invariant measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)$. In particular, if there is an invariant measure with full support(for example, the specification is Bowen's specification), then for any $x \in CI(f)$, $\omega_f(x) = X$.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that there is a point $x \in CI(f)$,

$$\bigcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)} Support(\nu) \setminus \omega_f(x) \neq \emptyset.$$

This implies that there exists an invariant measure μ and a point $y \in Support(\nu) \setminus \omega_f(x)$. Since $\omega_f(x)$ is a closed invariant set, we can choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that the open ball centered on y with radius 2ϵ $B_{2\epsilon}(y)$ satisfies

$$B_{2\epsilon}(y) \cap \omega_f(x) = \emptyset.$$

Then we can define a continuous function $\phi : X \to [0, 1]$ such that the values of ϕ restricted on $\omega_f(x)$ are zero and the values of ϕ restricted on the closed ball $\bar{B}_\epsilon(y) := Closure(B_\epsilon(y))$ are 1. Note that $y \in Support(\mu)$ implies $\mu(\bar{B}_\epsilon(y)) \geq \mu(B_\epsilon(y)) > 0$. Then

$$\int \phi d\mu \geq \int_{\bar{B}_\epsilon(y)} \phi d\mu = \mu(\bar{B}_\epsilon(y)) > 0.$$

If we take an invariant measure ν supported on $\omega_f(x)$, then $\int \phi d\nu = 0$. So $\int \phi d\nu < \int \phi d\mu$. By Lemma 2.11, $I(\phi, f) \neq \emptyset$. So $\phi \in \hat{C}^0_f(X)$ and thus $x \in I(\phi, f)$. However, $\phi|_{\omega_f(x)} \equiv 0$ implies the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi(f^i(x))$$

exists and equals to 0. It contradicts to $x \in I(\phi, f)$.

If the specification is Bowen's specification, from [12] (Proposition 21.12) a dense G_δ subset of invariant measures has support X. So

$$\bigcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)} Support(\nu) = X$$

and thus $\omega_f(x) = X$. □

3 Irregular-mix-regular and Proof of Theorem 1.4, 1.8 and 1.9

3.1 Irregular-mix-regular set

Recall that for any $\phi \in \hat{C}^0_f(X)$, $CI(f) \subseteq I(\phi, f) \subseteq I(f)$. Since the topological entropy of $CI(f)$ and $I(\phi)$ are studied, a natural interest is the complementary set

$$I(\phi, f) \setminus CI(f).$$

It is the gap between completely-irregular and irregular. Remark that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\phi, f) \setminus CI(f) &= I(\phi, f) \setminus \Big(\bigcap_{\psi \in \hat{C}^0_f(X)} I(\psi, f) \Big) \\ &= I(\phi, f) \cap \Big(\bigcup_{\psi \in \hat{C}^0_f(X)} R(\psi, f) \Big) = \bigcup_{\psi \in \hat{C}^0_f(X)} (I(\phi, f) \cap R(\psi, f)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Inspired by this analysis we introduce a concept called irregular-mix-regular. A set $A \subseteq X$ is *irregular-mix-regular*, if A is formed from sets such as $I(\phi, f)$ and $R(\psi, f)$ throughout the operation of intersection. Firstly we discuss $I(\phi, f) \cap R(\psi, f)$ under two observable functions.

Theorem 3.1. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with $g-$almost product property and uniform separation property. Then for any two continuous functions $\phi, \psi \in C^0(X)$, the irregular-mix-regular $I(\phi, f) \cap R(\psi, f)$ either is empty or has full topological entropy.*
In particular, for any $\phi \in C^0(X)$, $I(\phi, f) \setminus CI(f)$ either is empty or has full topological pressure.

If ψ is a constant function or a function cohomologous to a constant, obviously $R(\psi, f) = X$ and thus $I(\phi, f) \cap R(\psi, f) = I(\phi, f)$. Now we give a simple example such that

$$\emptyset \neq I(\phi, f) \cap R(\psi, f) \subsetneqq I(\phi, f).$$

Example 3.2. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with Bowen's specification. Fix three different periodic orbits $Orb(p_1), Orb(p_2), Orb(p_3)$. Take ϕ to be a continuous function such that*

$$\phi|_{Orb(p_1)} = \phi|_{Orb(p_2)} = 0, \ \ \phi|_{Orb(p_3)} = 1$$

and take ψ to be a continuous function such that

$$\psi|_{Orb(p_3)} = \psi|_{Orb(p_2)} = 0, \ \ \psi|_{Orb(p_1)} = 1.$$

Let μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 denote the periodic measure supported on $Orb(p_1), Orb(p_2), Orb(p_3)$ respectively. Take $K = \{\tau\mu_2 + (1-\tau)\mu_3 | \, \tau \in [0,1]\}$. Then from [12] specification implies that G_K is nonempty and dense in X, where $G_K = \{x \in X | \, \mathcal{M}_x(f) = K\}$(Proposition 21.14 in [12]). It is easy to check that

$$G_K \subseteq I(\phi, f) \cap R(\psi, f)$$

so that $I(\phi, f) \cap R(\psi, f) \neq \emptyset$. Take $K' = \{\tau\mu_1 + (1-\tau)\mu_3 | \, \tau \in [0,1]\}$. Similarly, one can check that $G_{K'} \subseteq I(\phi, f) \cap I(\psi, f)$ so that $I(\phi, f) \cap R(\psi, f) \subsetneqq I(\phi, f)$.

In general, we show that for any system with $g-$almost product property, every truly-observable continuous function ϕ satisfies $I(\phi, f) \setminus CI(f) \neq \emptyset$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with $g-$almost product property(or almost specification). Assume that f is not uniquely ergodic. Then for any finite functions $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_k \in \hat{C}_f^0(X) (k \geq 1)$, one has*

$$\cap_{j=1}^k I(\phi_j, f) \setminus CI(f) \neq \emptyset.$$

If further uniform separation property holds, the sets $CI(f)$ and $\cap_{j=1}^k I(\phi_j, f) \setminus CI(f)$ both have full topological entropy (deduced from Theorem 1.8 and 3.7).

Remark 3.4. This proposition implies $CI(f)$ is not a jointly-irregular set of finite observable functions.

Proof. By the observation of (3.3) we only need to find some $\psi \in \hat{C}_f^0(X)$ such that

$$\cap_{j=1}^k I(\phi_j, f) \cap R(\psi, f) \neq \emptyset.$$

Before that we need the first part of Proposition 2.3 of [25].

Lemma 3.5. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with $g-$almost product property and μ be an ergodic measure. Then for any neighborhood $G \subseteq M(X)$ of μ, there exists a closed f-invariant subset $Y \subseteq X$ and an integer $N_G > 0$ such that for any $n \geq N_G$ and $y \in Y$,*

$$\mathcal{E}_n(y) \in G.$$

Recall μ_1, μ_2 to be the two ergodic measures in the proof of Theorem 1.9 and for any $1 \leq j \leq k$,

$$\int \phi_j d\mu_1 \neq \int \phi_j d\mu_2.$$

By entropy density, one can choose another ergodic measure μ_3 close to $\frac{1}{2}(\mu_1+\mu_2)$ enough in weak* topology such that for any $1 \leq j \leq k$,

$$\min_{l=1,2} \int \phi_j d\mu_l < \int \phi_j d\mu_3 < \max_{l=1,2} \int \phi_j d\mu_l.$$

Take three closed neighborhoods G_1, G_2, G_3 of μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 such that G_1, G_2, G_3 are pairwise disjoint and for any measures $\nu_i \in G_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$,

$$\min_{l=1,2} \int \phi_j d\nu_l < \int \phi_j d\nu_3 < \max_{l=1,2} \int \phi_j d\nu_l.$$

Then by Lemma 3.5 one can take three closed f-invariant subsets $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \subseteq X$ and a common integer N such that for any $n \geq N$ and $y \in Y_i$,

$$\mathcal{E}_n(y) \in G_i.$$

Remark that Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 are pairwise disjoint so that there exists a continuous function $\psi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\psi|_{Y_1 \cup Y_2} = 0, \ \ \psi|_{Y_3} = 1.$$

Take $\nu_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ to be three ergodic measures supported on Y_i. Then by Birkhorff ergodic theorem, each ν_i is a limit point of $\mathcal{E}_n(y_i)$ for some point $y_i \in Y_i$ so that we have $\nu_i \in G_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$. Remark that ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 satisfy that

$$\min_{l=1,2} \int \phi_j d\nu_l < \int \phi_j d\nu_3 < \max_{l=1,2} \int \phi_j d\nu_l.$$

Note that $\int \psi d\nu_1 = 0 < 1 = \int \psi d\nu_3$ so that by Lemma 2.11, $I(\psi, f) \neq \emptyset$. That is, $\psi \in \hat{C}_f^0(X)$.

Let $K = \{\tau\nu_1 + (1-\tau)\nu_2\}$. Then if f satisfies Bowen's specification, by Proposition 21.14 in [12] there is some $x \in X$ such that $\mathcal{M}_x(f) = K$(for the case of $g-$almost product property, it is not difficult to prove just by little modification to the proof of Proposition 21.14 in [12], here we omit the details). That $\int \phi_j d\nu_1 \neq \int \phi_j d\nu_2$ implies

$$\inf_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_x(f)} \int \phi_j(x)d\mu < \sup_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_x(f)} \int \phi_j(x)d\mu.$$

So by Lemma 2.10, $x \in \cap_{j=1}^k I(\phi_j, f)$. Notice that

$$\int \psi(x)d\nu_1 = \int_{K_1} \psi(x)d\nu_1 = 0 = \int_{K_2} \psi(x)d\nu_1 = \int \psi(x)d\nu_2$$

so that

$$\inf_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_x(f)} \int \psi(x)d\mu = \sup_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_x(f)} \int \psi(x)d\mu = 0.$$

Thus by Lemma 2.10 $x \in R(\psi, f)$. We complete the proof. □

Theorem 3.1 is to study irregular-mix-regular set under two observable continuous functions. Furthermore, another interesting question is to study the irregular-mix-regular set which is the intersection of several $\phi-$irregular sets and $\psi-$regular sets. Similar as the statements of Question 1.3, we ask:

Question 3.6. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with $g-$almost product property. Then for any subsets D_1, $D_2 \subseteq C^0(X)$, whether the irregular-mix-regular set*

$$(\bigcap_{\phi\in D_1} I(\phi, f)) \cap (\bigcap_{\psi\in D_2} R(\psi, f))$$

either is empty or has full topological pressure?

If $D_1 \cap D_2 \neq \emptyset$, obviously

$$(\bigcap_{\phi\in D_1} I(\phi_i, f)) \cap (\bigcap_{\psi\in D_2} R(\psi, f)) \subseteq \bigcap_{\phi\in D_1\cap D_2} (I(\phi, f)) \cap R(\phi, f)) = \emptyset.$$

If $D_1 = D$ and D_2 is composed of a constant function, then

$$(\bigcap_{\phi\in D_1} I(\phi, f)) \cap (\bigcap_{\psi\in D_2} R(\psi, f)) = \bigcap_{\phi\in D} I(\phi, f).$$

So if Question 3.6 is true, then so is Question 1.3.

For systems with $g-$almost product property and uniform separation property, we have a positive answer of Question 3.6 which particularly implies Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.7. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X with $g-$almost product property and uniform separation property. Then for any subsets D_1, $D_2 \subseteq C^0(X)$, the irregular-mix-regular set*

$$(\bigcap_{\phi\in D_1} I(\phi, f)) \cap (\bigcap_{\psi\in D_2} R(\psi, f))$$

either is empty or has full topological pressure.

Remark 3.8. Remark that the results of Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 3.3 are valid for the systems in Theorem 1.10 and 1.12.

We try to state the result of Theorem 3.7 for more general topological dynamical systems.

Theorem 3.9. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X. If f is saturated and the entropy function $h_\cdot(f) : \mathcal{M}_f(X) \to \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \mapsto h_\mu(f)$ is upper continuous, then for any subsets D_1, $D_2 \subseteq C^0(X)$, the irregular-mix-regular set*

$$(\bigcap_{\phi\in D_1} I(\phi, f)) \cap (\bigcap_{\psi\in D_2} R(\psi, f))$$

either is empty or carries full topological pressure, that is,

$$P((\bigcap_{\phi\in D_1} I(\phi, f)) \cap (\bigcap_{\psi\in D_2} R(\psi, f)), \varphi, f) = P(X, \varphi, f)$$

for any $\varphi \in C^0(X)$.

Proof. Assume

$$(\bigcap_{\phi\in D_1} I(\phi, f)) \cap (\bigcap_{\psi\in D_2} R(\psi, f)) \neq \emptyset.$$

Take $x_0 \in (\bigcap_{\phi\in D_1} I(\phi, f)) \cap (\bigcap_{\psi\in D_2} R(\psi, f))$. Then by definition of $R(\psi, f)$, for any $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{x_0}(f)$ and $\psi \in D$,

$$\int \psi d\nu_1 = \int \psi d\nu_2 (= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi(f^i(x_0))).$$

By definition of $I(\phi, f)$ and weak* topology(see Lemma 2.10), for any $\phi \in D_1$, there are invariant measures $\mu_{1,\phi}, \mu_{2,\phi} \in \mathcal{M}_{x_0}(f)$ such that

$$\int \phi d\mu_{1,\phi} \neq \int \phi d\mu_{2,\phi}.$$

Note that

$$\cup_{\phi\in D_1} \{\mu_{1,\phi}, \mu_{2,\phi}\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{x_0}(f).$$

Thus for any $\psi \in D_2$,

$$\inf_{\phi\in D_1} \int \psi d\mu_{1,\phi} = \sup_{\phi\in D_1} \int \psi d\mu_{2,\phi}. \tag{3.4}$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $\varphi \in C^0(X)$. By classical Variational Principle, we can take an ergodic measure μ such that

$$h_\mu(f) + \int \varphi d\mu > P(X, \varphi, f) - \epsilon.$$

Take $\theta \in (0,1)$ close to 1 such that

$$\theta(h_\mu(f) + \int \varphi d\mu) > P(X,\varphi,f) - \epsilon$$

and $(1-\theta)\|\varphi\| < \epsilon$, where $\|\varphi\| = \max_{x\in X} |\varphi(x)|$. For any $\phi \in D_1$, if take $\nu_{l,\phi} := \theta\mu + (1-\theta)\mu_{l,\phi}\,(l = 1,\, 2)$, then

$$h_{\nu_{l,\phi}}(f) + \int \varphi d\nu_{l,\phi} \geq \theta(h_\mu(f) + \int \varphi d\mu) - (1-\theta)\|\varphi\| > P(X,\varphi,f) - 2\epsilon\,(l = 1,\, 2).$$

Remark that for any $\phi \in D_1$,

$$\int \phi d\nu_{1,\phi} \neq \int \phi d\nu_{2,\phi}$$

and for any $\psi \in D_2$, by (3.4)

$$\inf_{\phi\in D_1} \int \psi d\nu_{1,\phi} = \sup_{\phi\in D_1} \int \psi d\nu_{2,\phi}. \tag{3.5}$$

Let

$$K' := \bigcup_{\phi\in D_1} \{\nu_{1,\phi},\ \nu_{2,\phi}\}$$

and let K be the convex hull of K'. That is,

$$K = \overline{\big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i\nu_i \mid \ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i = 1,\ \lambda_i \in (0,1),\ \nu_i \in K' \big\}}.$$

Since any $\nu \in K'$ satisfies $h_\nu(f) + \int \varphi d\nu > P(X,\varphi,f) - 2\epsilon$, we have

$$h_\tau(f) + \int \varphi d\tau > P(X,\varphi,f) - 2\epsilon$$

for any $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i\nu_i$ where $\lambda_i \in (0,1),\ \nu_i \in K', \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i = 1$.

Since the entropy function is upper continuous and $\rho \mapsto \int \varphi d\rho$ is continuous, then

$$h_\nu(f) + \int \varphi d\nu \geq P(X,\varphi,f) - 2\epsilon$$

holds for any $\nu \in K$. Similarly, by (3.5) and the continuity of functions $\psi \in D_2$, we have

$$\inf_{\nu\in K} \int \psi d\nu = \sup_{\nu\in K} \int \psi d\nu. \tag{3.6}$$

Since f is saturated, then for the above K

$$P(G_K,\varphi,f) = \inf\{h_\nu(f) + \int \phi d\nu \,|\, \nu \in K\} \geq P(X,\varphi,f) - 2\epsilon.$$

So the left to end the proof is only to show

$$G_K \subseteq (\bigcap_{\phi\in D_1} I(\phi,f)) \cap (\bigcap_{\psi\in D_2} R(\psi,f)).$$

By weak* topology, it is obvious from (3.6) that $G_K \subseteq \bigcap_{\psi\in D_2} R(\psi,f)$.

Fix $x \in G_K$ and $\phi \in D_1$. By definition of G_K, $\mathcal{M}_x(f) = K \supseteq \{\nu_{1,\phi},\ \nu_{2,\phi}\}$. Then there are two sequences of $n_j, m_j \uparrow +\infty$ such that in weak* topology

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{n_j}\sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} \delta_{f^i(x)} = \nu_{1,\phi},\ \ \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{m_j}\sum_{i=0}^{m_j-1} \delta_{f^i(x)} = \nu_{2,\phi}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{n_j}\sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} \phi(f^i(x)) = \int \phi d\nu_{1,\phi} \neq \int \phi d\nu_{2,\phi} = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{m_j}\sum_{i=0}^{m_j-1} \phi(f^i(x)).$$

This implies $x \in I(\phi,f)$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.7. By assumption, the system f satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.12 and Lemma 2.13 so that the entropy function is upper continuous. Moreover, by Lemma 2.8 f is saturated. So Theorem 3.7 can be deduced from Theorem 3.9. □

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.4 and 1.8

If $D_1 = D$ and D_2 is composed of a constant function, then

$$(\bigcap_{\phi\in D_1} I(\phi,f)) \cap (\bigcap_{\psi\in D_2} R(\psi,f)) = \bigcap_{\phi\in D} I(\phi,f).$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.7 implies Theorem 1.4. In particular, if $D = \hat{C}_f^0(X)$, then

$$\bigcap_{\phi\in D} I(\phi,f) = CI(f).$$

In this case $CI(f) \neq \emptyset$ by Theorem 2.17(see Remark 2.18). So Theorem 1.4 implies Theorem 1.8. □

3.3 Jointly-irregular set of countable observable functions

By Theorem 3.9, Theorem 1.4 can be also stated for any system which is saturated and that entropy function is continuous. It is still unknown whether the continuity of entropy function in Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 1.4 can be omitted. However, we have a following theorem similar as Theorem 1.4 under the observation of countable functions.

Theorem 3.10. *Let f be a continuous map of a compact metric space X. If f is saturated, then for any countable continuous functions $\phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots, \phi_n, \cdots$, the the jointly-irregular set $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} I(\phi_i, f)$ either is empty or carries full topological pressure, that is,*

$$P(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} I(\phi_i, f), \varphi, f) = P(X, \varphi, f)$$

for any $\varphi \in C^0(X)$.

Proof. We just need to modify the chosen K in the proof of Theorem 3.9. Take $D_1 = \{\phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots, \}$ and $D_2 = \{1\}$. Then

$$(\bigcap_{\phi \in D_1} I(\phi, f)) \cap (\bigcap_{\psi \in D_2} R(\psi, f)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} I(\phi_i, f).$$

Now assume this set is nonempty.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $\varphi \in C^0(X)$. Take the same ergodic measure μ such that

$$h_\mu(f) + \int \varphi d\mu > P(X, \varphi, f) - \epsilon,$$

and take $\theta \in (0, 1)$ close to 1 such that

$$\theta(h_\mu(f) + \int \varphi d\mu) > P(X, \varphi, f) - \epsilon$$

and $(1 - \theta)\|\varphi\| < \epsilon$, where $\|\varphi\| = \max_{x \in X} |\varphi(x)|$.

By Lemma 2.9, for any ϕ_i, one can take an invariant measure μ_i' such that

$$\int \phi_i d\mu \neq \int \phi_i d\mu_i'.$$

Then take an increasing sequence of numbers $\theta_i \in (\theta, 1) \uparrow 1$ such that the invariant measure $\mu_i := \theta_i \mu + (1 - \theta)\mu_i'$ satisfies

$$h_{\mu_i}(f) + \int \varphi d\mu_i \geq \theta(h_\mu(f) + \int \varphi d\mu) - (1 - \theta)\|\varphi\| > P(X, \varphi, f) - 2\epsilon.$$

Remark that every μ_i satisfies $\int \phi d\mu \neq \int \phi d\mu_i$.

Let

$$K := \{\mu\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{t\mu_i + (1 - t)\mu_{i+1}\}.$$

Since μ_i converges to μ, it is easy to check that K is connected and compact and every measure $\nu \in K$ satisfies that $h_\nu(f) + \int \varphi d\nu > P(X, \varphi, f) - 2\epsilon$.

Since f is saturated, then for above K one has

$$P(G_K, \varphi, f) = \inf\{h_\nu(f) + \int \varphi d\nu \,|\, \nu \in K\} \geq P(X, \varphi, f) - 2\epsilon.$$

So the left to end the proof is only to show

$$G_K \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} I(\phi_i, f).$$

Fix $x \in G_K$ and $i \geq 1$. By definition of G_K, $\mathcal{M}_x(f) = K \supseteq \{\mu, \mu_i\}$. Then there are two sequences of $n_j, m_j \uparrow +\infty$ such that in weak* topology

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{l=0}^{n_j-1} \delta_{f^l(x)} = \mu, \quad \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{m_j} \sum_{l=0}^{m_j-1} \delta_{f^l(x)} = \mu_i.$$

Then

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{l=0}^{n_j-1} \phi_i(f^l(x)) = \int \phi_i d\mu \neq \int \phi_i d\mu_i = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{m_j} \sum_{l=0}^{m_j-1} \phi_i(f^l(x)).$$

This implies $x \in I(\phi_i, f)$. □

3.4 Proof of Theorem 1.9

Remark that Theorem 1.9 is a generalization of Theorem 1.1. Here we need to consider multiple observable functions different from [35, 36] which only considers one function. But the idea is just to adapt the proof of [35, 36](Remark that the result of [36] is for the case of topological entropy but by slight modification its idea is still valid for topological pressure). So we only give a sketch of the proof and omit the details.

Assume

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} I(\phi_j, f) \neq \emptyset.$$

Take $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} I(\phi_i, f)$. Then by definition of $I(\phi_i, f)$ and weak* topology(see Lemma 2.10), there are invariant measures $\mu_{1,j}, \mu_{2,j} \in \mathcal{M}_x(f)(1 \leq j \leq k)$ such that

$$\int \phi_j d\mu_{1,j} \neq \int \phi_j d\mu_{2,j}, \ 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

For a fixed $1 \leq j \leq k$, obviously the solutions $(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_k)$ of linear equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\int \phi_j d\mu_{1,i} - \int \phi_j d\mu_{2,i})\lambda_i = 0$$

form a $k-1$ dimensional closed linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^k$, denoted by P_j. Notice that

$$\bigcup_{1\leq j\leq k} P_j$$

is the union of finite $k-1$ dimensional closed linear subspaces so that

$$\mathbb{R}^k \setminus (\bigcup_{1\le j\le k} P_j)$$

is open and dense in $\mathbb{R}^k$. One can take k positive numbers of $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_k$ such that for any $1 \le j \le k$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\int \phi_j d\mu_{1,i} - \int \phi_j d\mu_{2,i})\lambda_i \neq 0.$$

For example, if $k=3$, $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ is chosen in the first octant of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Let $\theta_j = \frac{\lambda_j}{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i}$. Then all θ_j are positive and $\sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j = 1$. If we define

$$\mu_l = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \theta_i \mu_{l,i}, \ \ l = 1,\, 2,$$

then for any $1 \le j \le k$,

$$\int \phi_j d\mu_1 \neq \int \phi_j d\mu_2.$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $\varphi \in C^0(X)$. By classical Variational Principle, we can take an ergodic measure μ such that

$$h_\mu(f) + \int \varphi d\mu > P(X, \varphi, f) - \epsilon.$$

Take $\theta \in (0, 1)$ close to 1 such that

$$\theta(h_\mu(f) + \int \varphi d\mu) > P(X, \varphi, f) - \epsilon$$

and $(1-\theta)\|\varphi\| < \epsilon$, where $\|\varphi\| = \max_{x\in X} |\varphi(x)|$. Then the two invariant measures $\nu_l := \theta\mu + (1-\theta)\mu_l \, (l = 1,\, 2)$ satisfy

$$h_{\nu_l}(f) + \int \varphi d\nu_l \ge \theta(h_\mu(f) + \int \varphi d\mu) - (1-\theta)\|\varphi\| > P(X, \varphi, f) - 2\epsilon \, (l = 1,\, 2).$$

Remark that for any $1 \le j \le k$,

$$\int \phi_j d\nu_1 \neq \int \phi_j d\nu_2.$$

By [25], when f has the almost specification, we can find two sequence of ergodic measures $\nu_{l,i} \in \mathcal{M}_f(X)$ such that

$$h_{\nu_{l,i}}(f) \to h_{\nu_l}, \ \textit{and} \ \ \nu_{l,i} \to \nu_l \, (l = 1, 2)$$

in weak* topology. Therefore, we can take two measures belonging to these two sequence which we called ρ_1 and ρ_2 respectively such that

$$h_{\rho_l}(f) + \int \varphi d\rho_l > P(X, \varphi, f) - 2\epsilon \, (l = 1,\, 2)$$

and for any $1 \leq j \leq k$,

$$\int \phi_j d\rho_1 \neq \int \phi_j d\rho_2.$$

This is the first step of [35, 36] to choose two good ergodic measures but crucial because the ergodicity is important in the proof of [35, 36] to avoid the use of uniform separation.

Then we can follow the proof of [35, 36] to complete the proof. Roughly speaking, using the above two ergodic measures to construct a set $F \subseteq \cap_{j=1}^{k} I(\phi_j, f)$ such that the topological pressure of F is larger than $P(X, \varphi, f) - 2\epsilon$. In this process, Entropy Distribution Principle plays an important role. One can see [35, 36] for more details. □

4 Simple Applications

In this section we compute a detailed example as a simple application.

Let $f : S^1 \to S^1, x \mapsto 2x \bmod 1$. It is known that f is expansive and satisfies Bowen's specification(it is also known f is topologically conjugated to the shift of two symbols except at countably many points). Consider

$$D := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} (\{sin2n\pi x\} \cup \{cos2n\pi x\}).$$

D is a particular subset of $C^0(S^1)$ consisted of some sine functions and cosine functions from the basis of Fourier series. and it is easy to check every function in D is truly-observable. In fact, let μ be the Dirac measure supported on the fixed point $0 \in S^1$ and let μ_n be the periodic measure supported on the periodic orbit which is the $\omega-$limit set of the orbit

$$O_n := \{\frac{1}{7n}, \frac{2}{7n}, \frac{4}{7n}, \cdots, \frac{2^k}{7n}, \cdots\} \, mod \, 1.$$

Then

$$\int sin2n\pi(x) d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} sin2n\pi 0 = 0$$

and

$$\int sin2n\pi(x) d\mu_n = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} sin2n\pi \frac{2^j}{7n} = \frac{1}{3}(sin\frac{2}{7}\pi + sin\frac{4}{7}\pi + sin\frac{8}{7}\pi) \neq 0.$$

Similarly, we can check that

$$\int cos2n\pi(x) d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} cos2n\pi 0 = 1$$

and

$$\int cos2n\pi(x) d\mu_n = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} cos2n\pi \frac{2^j}{7n} = \frac{1}{3}(cos\frac{2}{7}\pi + cos\frac{4}{7}\pi + cos\frac{8}{7}\pi) \neq 1.$$

By Lemma 2.11, $D \subseteq \hat{C}_f^0(X)$. So

$$\bigcap_{\phi \in D} I(\phi, f)$$

carries full topological entropy and full Hausdorff dimension. In other words, the jointly-irregular set under the observation of above infinite sine functions and cosine functions is still meaningful and carries full topological pressure, topological entropy, BS-dimension and full Hausdorff dimension.

References.

1. F. Abdenur, C. Bonatti, S. Crovisier, *Nonuniform hyperbolicity of C^1-generic diffeomorphisms,* Israel Journal of Mathematics,183 (2011), 1-60.
2. S. Albeverio, M. Pratsiovytyi and G. Torbin, *Topological and fractal properties of subsets of real numbers which are not normal,* Bull. Sci. Math., 129 (2005), 615-630.
3. I.-S. Baek and L. Olsen, *Baire category and extremely non-normal points of invariant sets of IFS's,* Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 27 (2010), 935-943.
4. L. Barreira, *Dimension and recurrence in hyperbolic dynamics*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 272, Birkhäuser, 2008.
5. Luis Barreira and Yakov B. Pesin, *Nonuniform hyperbolicity*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2007).
6. L. Barreira and J. Schmeling, *Sets of "non-typical" points have full topological entropy and full Hausdorff dimension,* Israel J. Math. 116 (2000), 29-70.
7. R. Bowen, *Topological entropy for noncompact sets,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 184 (1973), 125-136.
8. R. Bowen, *Periodic orbits for hyperbolic flows,* Amer. J. Math., 94 (1972), 1-30.
9. R. Bowen, *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms,* Springer, Lecture Notes in Math. 470 (1975).
10. J. Buzzi, *Specification on the interval,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), no. 7, 2737-2754.
11. E. Chen, T. Küpper, and L. Shu, *Topological entropy for divergence points,* Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 25 (2005), no. 4, 1173-1208.
12. M. Denker, C. Grillenberger and K. Sigmund, *Ergodic Theory on the Compact Space,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 527.
13. L. J. Díaz, T. Fisher, M. J. Pacifico, and J. L. Vieitez, *Symbolic extensions for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems,2012, Vol32, 12, 4195 - 4207.
14. A. Eizenberg, Y. Kifer and B. Weiss, *Large Deviations for Z^d-actions*, Commun. Math. Phys., 164, 433-454 (1994).
15. Feng D J, Lau K S, Wu J. *Ergodic limits on the conformal repellers*, Advances in Mathematics, 2002, 169(1): 58-91.

16. J. Hyde, V. Laschos, L. Olsen, I. Petrykiewicz and A. Shaw, *Iterated Cesaro averages, frequencies of digits and Baire category,* Acta Arith., 144 (2010), 287-293.
17. A. Katok, *Liapunov exponents, entropy and periodic orbits for diffeomorphisms*, Pub. Math. IHES, 51 (1980) 137-173.
18. J. Li and M. Wu, *The sets of divergence points of self-similar measures are residual,* J. Math. Anal. Appl., 404 (2013), 429-437.
19. J. Li, M. Wu, *Generic property of irregular sets in systems satisfying the specificaiton property,* Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 34(2014), 635-645.
20. G. Liao, M. Viana, J. Yang, *The Entropy Conjecture for Diffeomorphisms away from Tangencies,* Journal of the European Mathematical Society, 2013, 15(6): 2043-2060.
21. M. Misiurewicz, *Topological conditional entropy,* Studia Math., 55(2) (1976), 175-200.
22. L. Olsen, *Extremely non-normal numbers,* Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 137 (2004), 43-53.
23. L. Olsen and S. Winter, *Multifractal analysis of divergence points of deformed measure theoretical Birkhoff averages II,* Bull. Sci. Math. 6, 2007, 518-558.
24. Ya. Pesin and B. Pitskel', *Topological pressure and the variational principle for noncompact sets,* Functional Anal. Appl. 18 (1984), 307-318.
25. C. Pfister, W. Sullivan, *Large Deviations Estimates for Dynamical Systems without the Specification Property. Application to the β-shifts,* Nonlinearity 18, 237-261 (2005).
26. C. Pfister, W. Sullivan, *On the topological entropy of saturated sets,* Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. 27, 929-956 (2007).
27. M. J. Pacifico and J. L. Vieitez, *Entropy-expansiveness and domination for surface diffeomorphisms*, Rev. Mat. Complut., 21: 293-317, 2008.
28. Y. Pei and E. Chen, *On the varational principle for the topological pressure for certain non-compact sets,* Sci China Math, 2010, 53(4): 1117-1128.
29. M. Pollicott and H. Weiss, *Multifractal analysis of Lyapunov exponent for continued fraction and Manneville-Pomeau transformations and applications to Diophantine approximation,* Comm. Math. Phys. 207, 1999, 145-171.
30. D. Ruelle, *Historic behavior in smooth dynamical systems,* Global Analysis of Dynamical Systems (H. W. Broer, B. Krauskopf, and G. Vegter, eds.), Bristol: Institute of Physics Publishing, 2001.
31. K. Sigmund, *Generic properties of invariant measures for axiom A diffeomorphisms,* Invention Math. 11(1970), 99-109.
32. J. Schmeling, *Symbolic dynamics for β-shifts and self-normal numbers*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 17 (1997), 675-694.
33. F. Takens, *Orbits with historic behaviour, or non-existence of averages*, Nonlinearity 21, 2008, T33-T36.
34. F. Takens, E. Verbitskiy, *On the variational principle for the topological entropy of certain non-compact sets,* Ergodic theory and dynamical systems, 2003, 23(1): 317-348.
35. D. Thompson, *The irregular set for maps with the specification property has full topological pressure,* Dyn. Syst. 25 (2010), no. 1, 25-51.

36. D. Thompson, *Irregular sets, the β-transformation and the almost specification property*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 2012, 364(10): 5395-5414.
37. M. Todd, *Multifractal analysis of multimodal maps*, arXiv:0809.1074v2, 2008.
38. P. Walters, *An introduction to ergodic theory,* Springer-Verlag, 2001.